## NONDISCRIMINATION COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

STD. 19 (REV. 3-95) FMC

COMPANY	NAME				
	M t	Lassen	Trout	Farms.	Inc.

The company named above (hereinafter referred to as "prospective contractor") hereby certifies, unless specifically exempted, compliance with Government Code Section 12990 (a-f) and California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 5 in matters relating to reporting requirements and the development, implementation and maintenance of a Nondiscrimination Program. Prospective contractor agrees not to unlawfully discriminate, harass or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment because of sex, race, color, ancestry, religious creed, national origin, disability (including HIV and AIDS), medical condition (cancer), age, marital status, denial of family and medical care leave and denial of pregnancy disability leave.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the official named below, hereby swear that I am duly authorized to legally bind the prospective contractor to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

OFFICIAL'S NAME Philip Mackey	
DATE EXECUTED April 15, 1999	EXECUTED IN THE COUNTY OF Tehama
PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE  Macles	
PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTORS TITLE President, Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc.	
PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S LEGAL BUSINESS NAME	
Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc.	

State of California The Resources Agency Department of Water Resources

Agreement No.	
Exhibit	

## NONCOLLUSION AFFIDAVIT TO BE EXECUTED BY BIDDER AND SUBMITTED WITH BID FOR PUBLIC WORKS

COUNTY OF Tehama	_ )
Philip Mackey (name)	, being first duly sworn, deposes and
says that he or she is President	osition title) of
Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc.	
	(the bidder)

behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation: that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the public body awarding the contract of anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company, association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

DATED: 4-15-99

By Theles Market (person signing for bidder)



(Notary Public)

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

(Notarial Seal)

State of California
The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Agreement No.	
Exhibit	

#### STANDARD CLAUSES --SMALL BUSINESS PREFERENCE AND CONTRACTOR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

## NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS:

Section 14835, et. seq. of the California Government Code requires that a five percent preference be given to bidders who qualify as a small business. The rules and regulations of this law, including the definition of a small business for the delivery of service, are contained in Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 1896, et. seq. A copy of the regulations is available upon request. Questions regarding the preference approval process should be directed to the Office of Small and Minority Business at (916) 322-5060. To claim the small business preference, you must submit a copy of your certification approval letter with your bid.

Are you claiming preference as a small business?

Yes\*

No

<sup>\*</sup>Attach a copy of your certification approval letter.

#### U.S. Department of the Interior

## Certifications Regarding Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters, Drug-Free Workplace Requirements and Lobbying

Persons signing this form should refer to the regulations referenced below for complete instructions:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions - The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled, "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. See below for language to be used; use this form for certification and sign; or use Department of the Interior Form 1954 (Di-1954). (See Appendix A of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions - (See Appendix B of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements - Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals) and Alternate II. (Grantees Who are Individuals) - (See Appendix C of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12)

Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 43 CFR Parts 12 and 18. The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of the Interior determines to award the covered transaction, grant, cooperative agreement or loan.

## PART A: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions

CHECK VIF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
  - (a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
  - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
  - (c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
  - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

## PART B: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

CHECK IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

#### PART C: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK VIF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

- A. The grantee certifies that it will or continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:
  - (a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
  - (b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about-

The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;

(2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;

- (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
- (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- (c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);
- (d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and

- (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
- (e) Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification numbers(s) of each affected grant;
- (f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted --

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a) (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).

В.	The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:
Pla	ce of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

PART D: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK\_\_IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

- (a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant;
- (b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to the grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant

, VDDFTCV	TION FOR				ONE A	pproval No. 0348- <b>0</b> 0
	TION FOR		2 DATE CUDANTER			
FEDERAL	. ASSISTA	NCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED		Applicant Identifier	
		<del>,</del>	April 15,			
1. TYPE OF SU	IBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEIVED B	Y STATE	State Application Identifier	
Application A Construction	stian.	Preapplication  Construction				<u> </u>
Non-Con			4. DATE RECEIVED B	Y FEDERAL AGENCY	Federal Identifier	
	INFORMATION	Non-Construction	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	
Legal Name:	iiii Oiliiiii Oil			Organizational Unit:		
	Lassen Tr	out Farms, Inc.		organizational orm		
Address (give o	city, county. State,	, and zip code):		Name and telephone	number of person to be contact	ed on matters involv
281	25 Hwy 36E			this application (give a	rea code)	
	Bluff, CA			Phil Mackey	(530) 597-2222	
		N NUMBER (EIN):		7. TYPE OF APPLICA	ANT: (enter appropriate letter in	′ <del></del> _
9 4 -	2 7 6 2	9 12 1		A. State	H. Independent School Dist.	M
B. TYPE OF AP	PLICATION:			B. County	State Controlled Institution of	if Higher Learning
	( <del>7</del> 7)	<b>-</b>	D Bassialan	C. Municipal	J. Private University	triigher Leaning
[	X New	Continuation	Revision	D. Township	K. Indian Tribe	
If Revision, ente	er appropriate lett	er(s) in Dox(es)		E. Interstate	L. Individual	
			! <u>'</u> '	F. Intermunicipal	M. Profit Organization	
A, Increase A		rease Award C. Increase	e Duration	G. Special District	N. Other (Specify)	
D. Decrease (	Ouration Other	specity):		9. NAME OF FEDERA	AL AGENCY:	·
				J. NAME OF TESEM	TE AGENTY.	
10. CATALOG	OF FEDERAL DE	OMESTIC ASSISTANCE NU	JMBER:	11 DESCRIPTIVE TIL	TLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJE	CT.
		-			Restoration Progra	
1				Limitations o	f Catastrophic Ris	k to Private
TITLE					rout Producer and	•
		DJECT (Cities, Counties, Sta	•	•	Introduced Pathoge	
Tehama	/ Shasta (	Counties, Califo	rnia		idence of Anadromo	us
13. PROPOSED	DDO IECT	14. CONGRESSIONAL DIS	TDICTO OF	Salmonids	<u> </u>	
B.C. Rest		114. CONGRESSIONAL DIS	3			
Start Date	Ending Date	a. Applicant		b. Project		
10/1/99	4/1/02	Mt. Lassen Trout	Farms, Inc.			
15. ESTIMATED	FUNDING:	<u> </u>		16. IS APPLICATION	SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY ST	ATE EXECUTIVE
				ORDER 12372 PR	OCESS?	
a. Federal		\$	.0C	1		
				a. YES, THIS PREA	APPLICATION/APPLICATION V	VAS MADE
b. Applicant		\$	.00		TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE	ORDER 12372
			00	PROCESS	FOR REVIEW ON:	
c. State		\$	.**	5.75		
d. Local		s	00	DATE		
O. LUCA		<b>3</b>	•	h No IT PROGRA	M IS NOT COVERED BY E. O.	12272
e. Other		\$	.30		BRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELEC	
				FOR REV		
f. Program Incor	ne	\$	Ö	]		
				17. IS THE APPLICAN	IT DELINQUENT ON ANY FED	ERAL DEBT?
g. TOTAL		\$ 4,1	36,297 · · · · ·	Yes If "Yes."	attach an explanation.	<b>⊠</b> No
10 TO THE PE	CT OF MY KNOW			<u> </u>	ION ARE TRUE AND CORRE	<del></del>
.uu 111E DE:		AND DELICE, ALL	- とつ・ヘ・バ・・ロロン みてとしし	A INCOMPREAMENTAL IN A L	ANIMAGE INGE AND CUMME	- L. 105

DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE

President

d. Signature of Authorized Representative

Philip Mackey

a. Type Name of Authorized Representative

ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.

c. Telephone Number

(530) 597-2222

PART E: Certification Regarding Lobbying
Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

CHECK\_\_IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT; SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.

CHECK\_\_IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF A FEDERAL LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000, OR A SUBGRANT OR SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$100,000, UNDER THE LOAN.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZ	ZED CERTIFYING OFFIC	CIAL Philip, Marley	
		'	
TYPED NAME AND TITLE	Philip Mackey,	President	

As the authorized certifying official, I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true.

4-15-99

DATE

- 11. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provides for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal and federally assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- 12. Will comply with the provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. Secs. 1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.
- Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 276a to 276a - 7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 276c and 18 U.S.C. Sec. 874), the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 327-333), regarding labor standards for federally assisted construction subagreements.
- 14. Will comply with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
- 15. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the

- National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514: (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. Secs. 1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clear Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clear Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. Secs. 7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, (P.L. 93-523); and (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (P.L. 93-205).
- Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. Secs. 1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
- 17. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. Sec. 470), EO 11593 (identification and preservation of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 469a-1 et seq.).
- Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 1984.
- 19. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, Executive Orders, regulations and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL	TITLE
Philip Madley	President
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc.	DATE SUBMITTED April 15, 1999

OMB Approval No. 0348-0041

## **BUDGET INFORMATION -- Construction Programs**

NOTE: Certain Federal assistance programs require additional computations to arrive at the Federal share of project costs eligible for participation. If such is the case you will be notified.

	COST CLASSIFICATION	a. Total Cost	b. Costs Not Allowable for Participation	c. Total Allowable Costs (Column a-b)
	Administrative and legal expenses	\$ 89,250	\$	\$ 89,250
	Land, structures, rights-of-way, appraisals, etc.	\$ 0	\$	<b>\$</b> 0
	Relocation expenses and payments	<b>\$</b>	\$	<b>\$</b> 0
	Architectural and engineering fees	\$ 130,000	\$	\$ 130,000
	Other architectural and engineering fees	\$ 9,500	\$	\$ 9,500
	Project inspection fees	\$ Included in 4	\$	\$ Included in 4
	Site work	\$ Included in 9	\$	\$ Included in 9
	Demolition and removal	<b>\$</b> 0	\$	\$ 0
•	Construction	\$ 1,726,100	\$	\$ 1,726,100
<b>)</b> .	Equipment	\$ Included in 9	\$	\$ Included in 9
1.	Miscellaneous	\$ 1,641,930	\$	\$ 1,641,930
2.	SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,596,780	\$	\$ 3,596,780
3.	Contingencies	\$ 539,517	\$	\$ 539,517
4.	SUBTOTAL	\$ 4,136,297	\$	\$ 4,136,297
5.	Project (program) income	\$ 0	\$	\$ . 0
5.	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (subtract #15 from #14)	\$ 4,136,297	\$	\$ 4,136,297
7.	Federal assistance requested, calculate as follows:  (Consult Federal agency for Federal percentage share).  Enter the resulting Federal share.	Enter eligible costs from line 16c Multiply X	100 %	\$ 4,136,297

## The Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy

PO Box 606, Manton, CA 96059-0606

12 April 1999

Mr. Phil Mackey Mt. Lassen Trout Farm 28125 Highway 36E Red Bluff. CA 96080

Dear Mr. Mackey:

The Board of Directors of the Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy has directed me to express the support of the Conservancy for your CALFED proposal.

As you know, the goals of the Conservancy include "preserving the environmental and economic resources of the watershed." We believe that it is possible to pursue a balanced approach whereby environmental measures can actually enhance the economy of the local area, provided that the implications of environmental measures are properly evaluated and provided for.

As a participant in the Battle Creek Working Group for nearly two years, the Conservancy is well aware of the disease-transmission hazard faced by your hatchery operation when wild salmon and steelhead are given full access to Battle Creek. You brought this problem to the attention of the responsible agencies at an early date, and they have fully understood the problem and been quite sympathetic.

These risks are important not only to Mt. Lassen Trout but to the wider community. It is clear that community support for the restoration program depends partly upon the minimization of any negative impacts of the program upon the local economy, and Mt. Lassen Trout is a very significant industry in our watershed.

Please be assured that the Conservancy will continue to work with Mt. Lassen Trout to seek approval for appropriate remedial measures to protect your operation. We believe that your proposed efforts will complement the broader measures being proposed by the Conservancy in our separate proposal.

Sincerely,

Robert Lee

Secretary, Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy

# Board of Supervisors COUNTY OF TEHAMA

District 1 - Barbara McIver

District 2 - George Russell

District 3 - Charles Willard

District 4 - Ross Turner

District 5 - Bill Borror



Richard Robinson Chief Administrator

From:

Charles Willard

Tehama County Supervisor

District # 3 PO Box 250

Red Bluff, CA 96080

Lo:

Mr. Lester Snow

CALFED Bay Delta Program

1416 Nineth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re:

Mt. Lassen Trout Farm Inc. Proposal

Dear Mr. Snow

The CALFED programs to increase the populations of wild salmonids on Battle Creek are moving forward. An important part of the CALFED program is to avoid redirected impacts when selecting and approving program actions. One of the potential impacts of the increased populations of wild fish is the possible infection of hatchery fish with pathogens transmitted to the hatchery fish by the predators and association. Mt Lassen Trout Farm Inc. has eight facilities that may be affected by this redirected action of increasing the wild populations.

Mt Lassen Trout Farm Inc. would be unable to market their product if the diseases were found in their facilities and would be subject to losing their product and future loss of sales in reaction to these diseases. They employ about 25 local citizens in an area of rural economic depression. While I certainly support the return of the salmonids to historic levels and the possibility of economic development associated with that, I feel that CALFED must look beyond the immediate goal and ensure that the impacts of that program are not redirected to the detriment of our local citizens

Therefore, I am supporting the proposal of Mr Lassen Trout to examine and prepare for these possible affects of increased wild populations with CALFED assistance. The protection of the current hatchery operations and the restoration of the wild fish populations are both in CALFED's interest and should be a part of the program without redirecting impacts.

Thank you for providing an opportunity to comment on this historic process.

Charles Willard

530-4655

Sharon Bahr P.O. Box 497 Manton, Ca. 96059

4-12-99

Phil Mackey Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc. 28125 Hwy. 36E. Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

Dear Phil,

As we discussed, I support your efforts to protect your Mt. Lassen Trout Farm operations on my property. The proposal to construct a means to treat the incoming water to your facility or provide an enclosed collection system together with some site work, as well as to predator-proof your facilities is acceptable to me.

I look forward to hearing of the success of your proposal, and hopefully, the acceptance of the Project.

Sincerely.

Sharon Bahr

Leland Davis 299 Beverly Ave. Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

4-12-99

Phil Mackey Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc. 28125 Hwy. 36E. Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

Dear Phil,

As we discussed, I support your efforts to protect your Mt. Lassen Trout Farm operations on my property. The proposal to construct a means to treat the incoming water to your facility or provide an enclosed collection system together with some site work, as well as to predator-proof your facilities is acceptable to me.

I look forward to hearing of the success of your proposal, and hopefully, the acceptance of the Project.

Sincerely,

Leland Davis

Cland Davis

4-12-99

Phil Mackey Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc. 28125 Hwy. 36E. Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

Dear Phil,

As we discussed, I support your efforts to protect your Mt. Lassen Trout Farm operations on my property. The proposal to construct a means to treat the incoming water to your facility or provide an enclosed collection system together with some site work, as well as to predator-proof your facilities is acceptable to me.

I look forward to hearing of the success of your proposal, and hopefully, the acceptance of the Project.

Sincerely

Marion Jones



April 12, 1999

Tehama County Board of Supervisors PO Box 250 Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

Philip Mackey President

Shit Marky



April 12, 1999

Tehama Planning Dept. 444 Oak St. Red Bluff, Ca. 96080

Dear Mr. Robson,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

And Machey Philip Mackey

President



April 12, 1999

Shasta County Board of Supervisors 1815 Yuba St. Suite 1 Redding, Ca. 96001

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

Glit Mackey Philip Mackey

President.



April 12, 1999

Shasta County Planning Dept. 1855 Placer St. Suite 200 Redding, Ca. 96001

Dear Mr. Mull,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

Philip Mackey
President



April 12, 1999

Delta Protection Commission PO Box 530 Walnut Grove, California 95690

Dear Commission,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

Philip Mackey
President



April 12, 1999

Bay Conservation and Development Commission 30 Van Ness Avenue Room 2011 San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Commission,

In accordance with CALFED instructions, this letter is to notify your agency that Mt. Lassen Trout Farm (MLTF) will submit a proposal to CALFED for a Project to help it attain the goals of the CALFED Bay - Delta Program. MLTF supports the Program, but will have to receive physical and financial protection from the potential consequences of the planned restoration actions in Battle Creek.

The MLTF Project is to protect its operations in the Battle Creek Restoration Program (BCRP). The nature of the pathogens, the severity of State regulations governing pathogen incidents in aquaculture and the susceptibility of the MLTF trout strain necessitate the provision of reliable preventive measures. These include advanced treatment of water supplies and predator-proofing at MLTF's eight separate facilities within the basin and insurance against any remaining risks.

A pathogen incident would force destruction of stock, and subsequently due to loss of worldwide and stateside customers from interrupted supply and the stigma of disease, would be economically ruinous to MLTF. Under the present BCRP, CALFED will protect PG&E by compensation it for its ongoing loss of revenues caused by the modification of its operations and generation facilities. Nevertheless, no similar protective actions or like measures are provided MLTF under the current BCRP.

MLTF will seek high priority to proceed this year so that protection is in place before spawning access is opened further under the BCRP - now scheduled for two years hence. This is critical for MLTF and to the larger community which currently benefits from MLTF's \$9.2 million contribution to the local and regional economy.

MLTF will keep you informed of progress with the proposal, and hopefully the Project.

Sincerely,

Philip Mackey President